STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARI In re Patent Application of Attv Dkt. 2035-38 C# M# HIGHFIELD et al Group Art Unit: 1648 Examiner: Li, B. Serial No. 09/664,363 Filed: September 18, 20 Date: September 20, 2001 VIRAL AGENT Title: **Assistant Commissioner for Patents** Washington, DC 20231 Sir: **ALTERNATE PETITION UNDER RULE 181** This is a response/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes an attachment which is hereby incorporated by reference and the signature below serves as the signature to the attachment in the absence of any other signature thereon. Fees are attached as calculated below: Total effective claims after amendment minus highest number \$ 0.00 previously paid for 20 (at least 20) = \$ 18.00 Х Independent claims after amendment minus highest number 0.00 previously paid for (at least 3) =\$ 80.00 3 Х 0.00 If proper multiple dependent claims now added for first time, add \$270.00 (ignore improper) Petition is hereby made to extend the current due date so as to cover the filing date of this 0.00 paper and attachment(s) (\$110.00/1 month; \$390.00/2 months; \$890.00/3 months) Terminal disclaimer enclosed, add \$ 110.00 0.00 0.00 First/second submission after Final Rejection pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(a) (\$710.00) Please enter the previously unentered , filed Submission attached 0.00 Subtotal 0.00 If "small entity," then enter half (1/2) of subtotal and subtract -\$ Applicant claims "small entity" status. 

Statement filed herewith Rule 56 Information Disclosure Statement Filing Fee (\$180.00) 0.00 Assignment Recording Fee (\$40.00) 0.00 0.00 Other: TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED 0.00 The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any <u>deficiency</u> in the fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Account No. 14-1140. A <u>duplicate</u> copy of this sheet is attached.

1100 North Glebe Road, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor Arlington, Virginia 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

MJW:tat

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By Atty: Mary J. Wilson, Reg. No. 32,955

Signature:

RECEIVED

SEP 2 1 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



In re Patent Application of

HIGHFIELD et al

Atty. Ref.:

2035-38

Serial No.

09/664,363

Group:

Filed:

**September 18, 2000** 

Examiner:

For:

**VIRAL AGENT** 

**September 20, 2001** 

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

SEP 2 1 2001

Sir:

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

## **ALTERNATE PETITION UNDER RULE 181**

For the reasons described below, Applicants hereby petition the Commissioner to invoke his supervisory authority and have the Restriction Requirement of April 20, 2001 (Paper Number 4) restated (reformulated), in the event the Examiner refuses to do the same as requested in the attached Response.

The attached Response should be construed as a Request for Reconsideration, as required by Rule 181, in the event the Examiner refuses to restate the Restriction Requirement, as requested in the attached Response.

The following is a statement of facts, as required by Rule 181(b), and point or points to be reviewed and the action requested.

The Examiner has indicated that restriction to a single sequence is required as each sequence within the scope of Groups I and III-VIII represents a separate invention.

Clearly, at a cost of approximately \$710 per application in filing fees alone, the burden

HIGHFIELD et al Serial No. 09/664.363

placed on Applicants to pursue each of the allegedly separately patentable and distinct sequences is grossly unfair.

The Examiner's requirement for restriction between each of the sequences of the claims fails to comply with at least the spirit of the Commissioner's Notice of November 19, 1996. The Commissioner indicated in that Notice that the Patent Office was attempting to strike a balance between aiding the biotechnology industry in protecting its intellectual property without creating an undue burden on the Office. To that effect, the Patent Office had determined that, in most cases, up to ten independent and distinct sequences would be examined in a single application without restriction.

The Commissioner is requested to invoke his supervisory authority and withdraw the Restriction Requirement of April 20, 2001 and restate the Restriction Requirement in a new Office Action, providing further time for Applicants to respond, which complies more closely with the attached Notice.

Grant of the present Petition is requested. No fee is believed required for consideration of the present paper as the present Alternate Petition need only be considered in the event the Examiner has erred in failing to restate the Restriction Requirement of April 20, 2001 in a new Restriction Requirement, as requested in the attached Response. The Office is authorized however to charge the undersigned's Deposit Account, by the attached cover sheet, if the Commissioner deems otherwise.

## HIGHFIELD et al Serial No. 09/664,363

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.** 

By:

Mary J∖ Wilson Reg. No. 32,955

MJW:tat

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

RECEIVED

SEP 2 1 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS